## PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

See item 4 below

FOR FURTHER ACTION

	ational application No. GB2004/004636	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 November 2004 (04.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 November 2003 (05.11.2003)					
Interr See	national Patent Classification (8 relevant information in Form	th edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237						
Appli	cant RITROX LIMITED							
1.	This international proliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).							
2.	<ol> <li>This RIPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> <li>In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</li> </ol>							
This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard applicability	to novelty, inventive step and industrial					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) was applicability; citations and explanations st	vith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial apporting such statement					

 The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).

Certain defects in the international application

Certain observations on the international application

Certain documents cited

	Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Dorothée Mülhausen	
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40	

Box No. VI

Box No. VII

Applicant's or agent's file reference

ANH.P05019PC

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY GCT 19 MAY 2005 To: PCT WIPO WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 05.11.2003 04.11.2004 PCT/GB2004/004636 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61L2/20, A61L2/14, A61L2/18 Applicant STERITROX LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 図 Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ⊠ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial D Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Box No. Vii Certain defects in the international application M Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION if a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/SA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.





European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Nissen, V

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8619



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004636

Вох	No	. I Basis of the opinion					
With	n reg lang	pard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
With	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. t	ype	of material:					
1		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. f	orm	at of material:					
		In written format					
		in computer readable form					
c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the International application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
	ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as propriate, were furnished.					

4. Additional comments:

2.

3.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004636

app	licability		nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
The obvi	questions whether the claimed in our to be industrially applica	nvent ble h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,					
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 13					
bec	ause:					
	the said International application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 13 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
0	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, d not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
Ø	See separate sheet for further	detai	ils			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 4-11

No: Claims 1-3, 12, 14

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 5,6

No: Claims 1-4, 7-12, 14

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-12, 14
No: Claims

#### 2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

## Section V:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-01 78793 D2: EP-A-722 741 D3: WO-A-03 038351 D4: DE-U-200 15 853

- It is abundantly known to use ozonized water for sterilisation purposes. Furthermore it
  is a well-known fact that the radical species obtainable by subjecting moist ozone to
  UV radiation are very potent disinfectants [see e.g. D1 and D2].
- 1.1 D1 suggests to sterilize the surface of objects using a liquid containing ozone and UV-activated species [page 11, lines 5-15]. The liquid sterilizing agent can be sprayed on the object under otherwise ambient conditions, and the method is particularly suitable for conveyer systems [page 28, lines 1-7]. See also D2 for a similar disclosure.
- 1.2 Accordingly the subject matter of claims 1-3, 12 and 14 not novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 1.3 It is also known that various substances such as titanium dioxide catalyse the formation of ozone related sterilants and the breakdown of ozone [vide e.g. D3 page 21, lines 21-26].
- 1.4 Accordingly the subject matter of claims 4 and 7-9 is considered to lack an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 1.5 Applying more than one conveyer or making such adjustable cannot be seen to provide any unexpected effect. Such modifications lie well within the capabilities of the skilled Engineer and are considered concern standard optimisations. Accordingly, the subject matter of claims 10 and 11 lacks an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 1.6 The search and examination of the present file has been carried out under the following assumptions:
  - Claim 2: An ozonised liquid is present in the apparatus. The "supply" is not considered to be a mere ozone generator.
  - Claims 5 and 6: The catalysing liquid is present in the claimed apparatus.
- 1.7 From the cited document it is not known to provide an apparatus comprising both means for spraying and a source of a liquid catalyst. Although the use of catalysts could itself be considered obvious, it seems nowhere implied or suggested to use a liquid spray of such which appears to allow for specific distribution of the catalyst. Accordingly both novelty and inventive step can be acknowledged for the subject matter of claims 5 and 6 (vide item 1.6 above).

## Section VIII:

- Claim 8 makes reference to claim 8 (Art. 6 PCT).
- 2.1 The use of the apparatus according to the present invention supposedly does not leave any discernable traces on the products treated. Accordingly such products are indistinguishable from objects (sterilised by other means) and the subject matter of claim 14 is consequently prima facie not novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 2.2 Claim 7 appears to be superfluous as it does not introduced any further limitations (Art. 6 PCT).

#### Section III:

 The subject matter of claim 13 making reference to the drawings is so broad and indefinite that it cannot be reasonably searched or examined (Art. 6 and R. 6.2(a) PCT). WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET) International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004636